

U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity Five Points Plaza 40 Marietta Street Atlanta, Georgia 30303-2806 (http://www.hud.gov)

June 14, 2017

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Sunny Grover, Chicago Public and Indian Housing, Special

Applications Center (SAC), PIA

FROM:

Carlos Osegueda, Director, Region IV Director, Office of Fair

Housing and Equal Opportunity, 4AEH

THROUGH:

Marilyn Moore-Lemons, Acting Region IV, Program and

Compliance Director, 4GES

THROUGH:

Staci Gilliam, Director, Programs and Compliance for Alabama and

Georgia 4CES

SUBJECT

Review of Disposition Application Request, East Point Housing

Authority GA078000001 HILLCREST HOMES

Processor: SAC - Chicago

The Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO), Program and Compliance Division, has reviewed the above referenced Demolition Application (Demo) in accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR 970. The demolition of public housing is authorized under Section 18 of the Housing Act of 1937, as amended. FHEO recommends approval of the demolition application based on the following information.

Background

The East Point Housing Authority (EPHA) has requested to demolish two (2) buildings consisting of 100 obsolete public housing units located at Hillcrest Homes in East Point, Georgia. According to the information presented, no residents currently reside at the subject property. The EPHA cited community safety and health hazards as the primary reasons for the proposed demolition. Specifically, the buildings were constructed in 1951 and have been vacant since 2010. The buildings are severely vandalized, distressed, and are in disrepair. The EPHA asserts that demolishing these buildings will allow the agency to build 300 new, amenity-filled, affordable housing units in two phases. The proposed demolition will cost EPHA an estimated \$775,000.

Recommendation

FHEO recommends approval of the disposition application based on the following factors:

- 1. The EPHA will provide future residents units with greater amenities than the subject property, including: on-site laundry, energy efficient appliances, ceiling fans, recreation facilities, computer center, community garden, and outdoor gathering areas.
- 2. The proposed relocation plan is acceptable, as the units have been vacant since 2010, and EPHA demonstrated that they worked closely with affected residents during the relocation process to answer questions; provide counseling/advisory services; and to oversee the reimbursement of resident relocation expenses. As a result, 45% of displaced families used housing choice vouchers to port to areas of higher opportunity.
- 3. The subject property consists of two (2) buildings and 100 units. The existing units have been vacant since 2010 and are distressed and present community safety hazards. The replacement housing will increase the current stock of available affordable housing by 200% by the end of phase two of redevelopment.
- 4. The five (5) UFAS units lost in conjunction with this demolition will be replaced as a part of the 180 1 units that will be erected during phase 1.
- 5. EPHA is not on HUD's civil rights threshold list.
- 6. There were no civil rights or fair housing issues raised by any of the residents in the occupied units of the development where the units are located or within the EPHA jurisdiction at the time the demolition application was submitted.
- 7. EPHA certified that the proposed demo will be conducted in accordance with all Applicable HUD civil rights related requirements, including but not limited to 24 CFR 970.5.

Based on the application submitted, and the additional information obtained by the reviewer, this application does not pose any concerns regarding the civil rights of the residents. Therefore, FHEO recommends approval of the demolition application.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact Carlton J. Cosby, Equal Opportunity Specialist, FHEO, Programs and Compliance Division at (678) 732-2077 or by email at Carlton.J.Cosby@hud.gov

cc:

Ada Holloway, PIH, Georgia

FHEO CHECKLIST REVIEWING DEMOLITION/DISPOSITION APPLICATIONS

Please provide all requested information and any supporting data in the "COMMENTS" column. Further justification may be submitted as an attachment to the checklist.

NAME OF PHA: East Point Housning Authority
ADDRESS OF PHA: P.O. Box 91363, East Point, GA 30364
PHA CONTACT PERSON: Michael Spann
DATE OF REVIEW: 3/22/2017
FHEO LOCAL OFFICE CONDUCTNG REVIEW: Atlanta
NAME OF FHEO ANALYST: Carlton J. Cosby
NAME OF FHEO REVIEWING OFFICIAL:
PHONE NUMBER OF FHEO REVIEWING OFFICIAL: 678-732-2077
NAME OF LOCAL PIH REVIEWER:
PHONE NUMBER OF LOCAL PIH REVIEWER:
DATE APPLICATION RECEIVED FROM SAC: 2/17/2017
DATE FHEO REVIEW DUE: 3/31/2017

I. General Considerations		Co	mments	
Is this a demolition or a disposition application or both?	Demolition			
 What is the underlying rationale and justification for the proposed demolition/disposition? (See section 5, items 6 and 7 of the applicant's narrative). 	Per its response, the East Point Housing Authority plans to replace vacant and dilapidated housing units with new ones. The PHA asserts this will keep with the Mission and Strategic Plan to increase the supply of affordable housing. In two phases, it will replace the current 100 new ones with approximately 300 new units in an amenity-filled community.			
 Comparison of Demographic Characteris with Surrounding Areas 	tics of the Popul	lation of the P	roposed Demo	/Dispo Units
	Demo/Dispo Project %	Census Tract %	PHA-Wide Inventory %	PHA Jurisdictional Area %
White	%	14%	0%	14%
Black or African American	99%	72%	99%	72%
Asian	%	0%	0%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	%	0%	0%	0%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1%	3%	1%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	%	10%	0%	11%

4.	How many units are in the PHA's	Total # of units: 383		
	overall housing unit inventory? Please indicate by unit bedroom (BD) type. (See PIC data).	# 16-0BD	380 dwelling and 3 Non-dwelling units.	
		# 116 - 1 BD	unus.	
		# 108 - 2 BD		
		# 98-3BD		
		# 35 - 4 BD		
		# 7-5BD		
5.		Total # of units: 182		
	tenants? Please indicate by unit bedroom (BD) type. (See PIC Special Report run	# 0-0BD	180 dwelling and 2 non-dwelling	
	for this information).	# 24 - 1 BD		
		# 56 - 2 BD		
		# 70 - 3 BD		
		# 23 - 4 BD		
		# 7 - 5 BD		
7				
II.	Project Description of Proposed Demolition/Disposition		Comments	
II. 6.	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the	Hillcrest Homes 18	Comments 47 Stanton Road, East Point, GA 30344	
	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the project(s)? (See section 4 of the	Hillcrest Homes 18		
	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the	Hillcrest Homes 18		
6.	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the project(s)? (See section 4 of the application). What is the total number of building(s) in	Hillcrest Homes 18		
6.	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the project(s)? (See section 4 of the application). What is the total number of building(s) in the project? (See section 5, paragraph 3	Hillcrest Homes 18 Total # of buildings	47 Stanton Road, East Point, GA 30344	
7.	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the project(s)? (See section 4 of the application). What is the total number of building(s) in the project? (See section 5, paragraph 3 of the application).	Total # of buildings	47 Stanton Road, East Point, GA 30344	
6.	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the project(s)? (See section 4 of the application). What is the total number of building(s) in the project? (See section 5, paragraph 3 of the application). What is total number of units proposed for demo/dispo, and the breakdown of the loss	Total # of buildings Total # of units: 100	47 Stanton Road, East Point, GA 30344	
7.	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the project(s)? (See section 4 of the application). What is the total number of building(s) in the project? (See section 5, paragraph 3 of the application). What is total number of units proposed for demo/dispo, and the breakdown of the loss of units for the proposed demo/dispo by	Total # of buildings Total # of units: 100 # 0 - 0BD	47 Stanton Road, East Point, GA 30344	
7.	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the project(s)? (See section 4 of the application). What is the total number of building(s) in the project? (See section 5, paragraph 3 of the application). What is total number of units proposed for demo/dispo, and the breakdown of the loss	Total # of buildings Total # of units: 100 # 0 - 0BD	47 Stanton Road, East Point, GA 30344	
7.	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the project(s)? (See section 4 of the application). What is the total number of building(s) in the project? (See section 5, paragraph 3 of the application). What is total number of units proposed for demo/dispo, and the breakdown of the loss of units for the proposed demo/dispo by unit bedroom (BD) type? (See Section	Total # of buildings Total # of units: 100 # 0 - 0BD	47 Stanton Road, East Point, GA 30344	
7.	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the project(s)? (See section 4 of the application). What is the total number of building(s) in the project? (See section 5, paragraph 3 of the application). What is total number of units proposed for demo/dispo, and the breakdown of the loss of units for the proposed demo/dispo by unit bedroom (BD) type? (See Section	Total # of buildings Total # of units: 100 # 0 - 0BD # 12 - 1BD	47 Stanton Road, East Point, GA 30344	
7.	Demolition/Disposition What is the name and address of the project(s)? (See section 4 of the application). What is the total number of building(s) in the project? (See section 5, paragraph 3 of the application). What is total number of units proposed for demo/dispo, and the breakdown of the loss of units for the proposed demo/dispo by unit bedroom (BD) type? (See Section	Total # of buildings Total # of units: 100 # 0 - 0BD # 12 - 1BD # 48 - 2BD	47 Stanton Road, East Point, GA 30344	

9. How many units are occupied/vacant b	Total # of units: 10	Total # of units: 100			
each unit bedroom BD type in the project(s) to be demolished/disposed	# 0 -0BD	The EPHA has 100 units to be			
(See PIC Special Report run for this	# 12 -1BD	demolished spanning two buildings. The units have been vacant since 2008.			
breakdown).	# 48 -2BD	The state of the s			
	# 28 -3BD				
	# 12 -4BD				
	# 0 -5BD	-			
10. Is there a percentage loss of units for the	עשט אי ט ן				
proposed demo/dispo by unit bedroom (E type for the entire project? (Calculate	D) 12 % - 1BD				
based on information provided in section	ons 48 % - 2BD				
4 and 5 of the application).	28 % - 3BD				
	12 % - 4BD				
	0 % - 5BD				
11. Is there a percentage loss of units for t	0 70 000				
proposed demo/dispo of the total numl of units for the PHA's housing inventor	1 10 07 1 DIN				
by unit bedroom (BD)	44 % - 2BD				
size? (Calculate based on information in this checklist).	29 % - 3BD				
information in this encernisty.	34 % - 4BD				
	0 % - 5BD	490000			
12. Is there a percentage loss of UFAS accessible units by unit bedroom (BD	% - 0BD	There is a 100% loss of UFAS accessible			
type for the entire project? (See PIC data).	100 % - 1BD	units for the entire project. All of the subject property is being demolished.			
	% - 2BD	According to the contract of t			
	% - 3BD	VANANA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN			
	% - 4BD	COMMANDE PRODUCTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO			
	% - 5BD				

13. If the answer to question 12 is yes, what is	0 % - 0BD	All of the UFAS 1 BD units in EPHAs
the percentage loss of UFAS accessible	0 % 0BD	inventory are located in Hillcrest Homess.
units by unit bedroom (BD) type for the	100 % - 1BD	All of subject property will be
PHA's entire housing inventory?		demolished. There will be a 100% loss of
	0 % - 2BD	1 BD UFAS units. There will be 0% loss in all other categories.
	0 % - 3BD	in an other eategories.
	0 %-360	
	0 % - 4BD	
	0 % - 5BD	

14. List the civil rights characteristics (race, national origin, familial status, and/or disability, etc.) of the project's current residents by unit size. (See PIC Special Report run for this information). Please use Section VI, Additional Comments & Analysis on page 12, if you need additional space.

0Bedroom	Hispanic	White Non- Hispanic	African American Non- Hispanic	Asian Non-Hispanic	Other (e.g., Families with Children, Disabled individuals, etc.)
0Bedroom	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
1Bedroom	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2Bedroom	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3Bedroom	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
4Bedroom	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
5Bedroom	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

III. Civil Rights/Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH)

15. Does the PHA have any outstanding lawsuits, consent decrees, settlement so, please describe the relationship agreements, VCAs, letters of findings or pending investigations? If so, please describe the relationship between these actions and the demo/dispo application. These actions could serve as the basis for disapproving the demo/dispo application depending on the factual and legal circumstances.

Comments

None known at present time.

16. Under section 7 "Resident Consultation" in the applicant's narrative, do tenants raise any civil rights/fair housing issues or concerns? Were these issues and concerns adequately addressed by the PHA in their narrative?

Per the PHA, there were serveral surveys conducted and meetings held from the beginning to end of the transiton process. The EPHA indicated no issues raised by tenants. The concerns indicated were mainly around tenants porting with HCVs and to areas outside of EPHAs territory - specifically high opportunity areas. The EPHA asserted these were dealt with via negotiations with other HAs and researching options for tenants.

17. How does this proposed demo/disposition affect the PHA's obligation to affirmatively further fair housing under 24 CFR 903.7(o)? If applicable, does the application narrative indicate that tenants will have realistic choices to live in higher opportunity areas (e.g., better quality public elementary schools, greater public transportation, employment, health care, retail, recreational and cultural opportunities)?

East Point Housing Authority indicates that the proposed demolition will increase the number of available low-income housing units from 100 - 300; which increases the availability of affordable housing by 200 percent. The EPHA also suggest the new development will be amentiy-filled as oppossed to the current; inclusive of onsite laundry and other elements that make functionality better for tenants.

18. If applicable, has the applicant's narrative described sufficient counseling and advisory services to affected tenants that promote fair housing choice and the opportunity to assist residents obtain housing in high opportunity areas? (See section 6, item 3 of the application).

Yes. The EPHA asserts that it provided site visits whin its jurisdiction to locations that honored the HCV. Classes public transportation, job readiness, and budgeting were mandatory; suggestions for car pooling with other residents and family members to assist with exploring locations not on the bus line were made; and realtors and agents were partnered with to offer information of available properties. EPHA also asserts it assisted with tenants porting outside of jurisdiction by negotiating with other HAs and researching viable options for tenants.

19. If applicable, does the proposed demo/dispo create the conditions for minority de-concentration among the PHA's existing tenant population? (See the information contained in this application and the application narrative).	Based on the EPHA report, 45% of the affected residents moved to high-opportunity areas. This was 45 people out of a group where 99% of the tenants were minority and 1% were "other". The rest of the tneants remained in EPHA's minority concentrated jurisdiction.
20. Identify and analyze any potential discriminatory effects that the proposed demo/dispo may have upon the supply, location, availability, or affordability of housing for protected class members under the federal civil rights laws, including but not limited to discriminatory effects prohibited by 24 CFR 1.4. To the extent that such discriminatory effects are identified, consider less discriminatory alternatives and identify concrete steps reasonably calculated to avoid, minimize, or mitigate the discriminatory effects.	None identified.
21. Are there any objections raised by third party advocacy groups or other interested parties (e.g., legal aid organizations local community groups etc.) regarding the proposed demo/disposition that are not stated in the application? (Notify SAC personnel of these objections).	None noted

22. Are there any objections raised by third party advocacy groups or other interested parties (e.g., legal aid organizations local community groups etc.) regarding the proposed demo/disposition that are not stated in the application? (Notify SAC personnel of these objections).	None identified.
IV. Relocation Plan (If Applicable)	Comments
23. Please indicate the anticipated types of proposed relocation housing opportunities, the numbers of tenants for each type of relocation housing opportunity, and the types of relocation services that will be offered (See section of the application and the accompanying narrative).	A: newly constructed PHA building(s) with comparable rents and amenities. Total # of tenants: B: rehabilitated public housing within the PHA's jurisdiction with comparable rents and amenities. Total # of tenants: C. private housing through HCV assistance Total # of tenants: D: placement in existing vacant PHA units within the PHA's jurisdictional area with comparable rents and amenities. Total # of tenants: 74 E. counseling and advisory services F. relocation expenses (moving expenses, rent subsidies, security deposit, etc.) G. other relocation services (please specify) HCV ports to options in and out of Juris

24. If comparable replacement housing is already planned, evaluate the applicant's narrative concerning the proposed quality, rent levels, services, amenities of the housing, and its geographical area. (See section 6 of the application). Is the replacement housing comparable to or better than the existing proposed demo/dispo housing?

According to the EPHA, the exisiting developement is severely vadalized, distressed, and pose a hazard to the community. The proposed development would proivde needed affordable housing; and a new, amenity-filled complex that would be more beneficial to residents. Amenties include: Community Room, Community Garden, computer center, fitness center, on-site laundry, ceiling fans, Energy Star appliances, and exterior gathering areas. The EPHA asserts better housing has been planned for the site.

25. Will the proposed replacement housing project, if applicable, be located in a housing market area that is less minority concentrated? (Consider the applicant's narrative and Census data by census tract).

The replacment housing is located in the same housing market area of the East Point Housing Authority. External services (retail, schools, healthcare etc.) will remain the same.

26. Has the application described how many new replacement housing units by bedroom size will meet the accessibility requirements of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973? Has the application also specifically described if the replacement housing will fulfill the 5 percent, two percent requirements by bedroom size? If existing accessible housing units are identified as replacement units, where is the location of these units and what are available bedroom sizes?

The EPHA asserts a total of 7% of the total units will meet accessibility requirements of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Total unity count is 180. Nine units will meet mobility imparied standards and 4 will meet sight/hearing impaired standards. The 504 accessible units will be spred throughout bedroom type. Of the 5% of units meeting mobility impaired standards accessibility requirements, 2.8% will be 1BR and 2.2% 2BR. 2.2% of the total units will be 1BR units that meet mobility impaired accessibility requirements. Additionally, of the 2.2% of units meeting sight and/or hearing impaired accessibility unit standards, EPHA asserts 1.1% will be 1BR and 1% will be 2BR units.

and the state of t	
27. If relocation to private housing is contemplated, will tenants have a realistic opportunity to move to higher opportunity areas (e.g., areas with better schools, employment, transportation opportunities) based on the extent of assistance offered (HCVs, housing market area rents, counseling services, and other relocation assistance) described in section 6 of the application?	Accourding to EPHA tenants had real opporutnities to relocate to higher opportunity areas. It asserts that to assist, site visits within the EPHA jurisdictions were made to locations that honored the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV). It further asserts classes on public transportaiton were offered; and local realtors/agents were partnered with as information sources of available properties.
28. Please comment on the likely housing market areas/communities where tenants will relocate through HCV assistance or other HUD assistance programs and the extent of improved housing choices and opportunities under the relocation plan.	According to EPHA, 45% of the tenants who were displaced ported out to high opportunity areas.
29. Discuss the strength of the applicant's narrative in section 6 in describing assistance for individuals with disabilities in finding accessible housing (e.g., HCVs, agreements with private landlords, assistance with reasonable modifications)? What types of specific assistance and their projected costs are discussed?	According to EPHA priority was given to the elderly and disabled. Some residents were assisted via referrals to social security; the GA Department of Labor for their Vocational Resource Department to assist with job search, SSI assistance, and any available services for eligible benefits.

30. List the demographic characteristics (race, national origin, familial status, and/or disability, etc.) of the PHA's HCV program. (See PIC Special Report Run for this information).	Hispanic	White Non- Hispanic	African American Non- Hispanic	Other (e.g. Families with Children, Disabled individuals, etc.) Asian
	%	1%	99%	0%
31. List the demographic characteristics (race, national origin, familial status, and/or disability, etc.) of the PHA's HCV waiting list.	Hispanic	White Non- Hispanic	African American Non- Hispanic	Other (e.g. Families with Children, Disabled individuals, etc.)
	0%	1%	99%	0%
32. Please describe what affirmative steps the PHA has taken in the past to assist HCV individuals find housing in high opportunity areas? What new efforts or strategies are contemplated in the application narrative?	honored the experiencin EPHA mon areas; and t	e Housing Cho g resistance in itored the numer tenants were o	nice Vouchers. ' possible relocat hber of families r	made to locations that To avoid tenants tion neighborhoods, moving into various ps to assist them in ocate.
33. Are displaced tenants given preference to any Site Based Waiting Lists managed by the PHA?	openings at	all of its public		d priority for any including Norman Homes.

	disposition Review Checklist
34. In your opinion, is this relocation plan acceptable? Please elaborate on the reasons why or why not.	Yes. The relocation plan is acceptable. The plan considered all tenants involved and provided substantive approaches to relocation based on need and resources.
V. Additional Comments & Analysis. Ple	ease use additional sheets if necessary.
	tions, or conditions to the proposed application that would that would avoid, minimize, or mitigate any discriminatory application.
Hillcrest Homes site. The existing units have be pose a hazard to the community. This replaces housing by 200 percent after phase two; provision-site laundry, energy efficient aplliances, ceil the status of the current site, the funds currently use. It should be noted that Hillcrest Homes complete EPHA boasts a waiting list of over 800 people EPHA to advance its mission of increasing the	ed, it would be advantageous for the EPHA to demolish the een vacant since 2010; severely vandalized; are distressed; and ment housing will increase the current stock of avialable affordable ding 300 better-quality, amenity filled units. The amenities include: ing fans, recreation facilities, and outdoor gathering areas. Due to being received by the EPHA are not put to the most beneficial currelty represents 26% of the EPHAs housing inventory, while including the ability to move forward with demolition would allow the supply of quality affordable housing. Phase one of the replacement elition. The new site will meet all HUD requirements including

For HUD - Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity Use Only					
Recommendation for Approval/Disapproval: Approval (Indicate only one)					
Carlton J. Csoby					
FHEO Reviewer	(print name)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Atlanta					
FHEO Local Field Office					
Signature of FHEO Review	er		Date		